

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/917,433	07/27/2001	Laurence Lee	P430.12-0002	2032
164 7:	590 06/12/2002			
KINNEY & LANGE, P.A.			EXAMINER	
312 SOUTH T	& LANGE BUILDING HIRD STREET		BLANTON, R	REBECCA A
MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55415-1002		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1762	đ
			DATE MAILED: 06/12/2002	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1.0				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/917,433	LEE ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rebecca A. Blanton	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be a adiable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi 0 (35 U S.C. § 133).	cation.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	April 2002 .					
2a) This action is FINAL 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> Disposition of Claims			rits is			
4) Claim(s) 13-19 and 26-30 is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>13-18 and 26-30</u> is/are rejected						
7)⊡ Claim(s) 19 is are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	niner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	` '				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.				
If approved to, redeed drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some ' ) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	<del>)</del>			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	) (to a provisional appli	ication).			
<ul><li>a)  The translation of the foreign language provides</li><li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic</li></ul>	, ,					
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PT. 0890)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Cristling Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Cratement's (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6</li> </ol>		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)				
S Patent and Trademick In						

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 13-16, 18, and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glatt et al. (U.S. 4,858,552) in view of Reynolds (U.S. 3,354,863) and in further view of Luy et al. (U.S. 5,631,102).

Referring to claims 13, 26, and 30, Glatt et al. disclose a fluidized bed apparatus capable of spraying, coating, and drying pellets of pharmaceutical material (column 1 lines 27-38 and column 2 lines 15-21). The fluidized bed, disclosed by Glatt et al., comprises a perforated base through which fluidizing gas flows, a channeling chamber such as a cylindrical rising tube, and a spray nozzle (column 2 lines 54-68 and column 3 lines 1-8). In column 3 lines 63-65, the reference teaches that the channeling cylinder may be vertically adjusted to adapt to different process conditions. The reference further teaches that the spray nozzle may also be adjusted vertically to allow for different particle sizes and densities (column 3 lines 52-56, and 66-68 and column 4 lines 1-2). Glatt et al. disclose that the particles are carried upwards through the bed and are deflected outward and carried to the lower inlet area of the rising tube to allow for the particles to increase in size during the process (column 3 lines 31-36, and 50-53). Glatt et al. teach that the particles are loaded into the bed, and then are fluidized by an upward flowing gas (column 3 lines 22-36). In Figure 1, Glatt et al. show that the spray nozzle is adjusted to form a coating region inside of the cylindrical chamber.

Application/Control Number: 09/917,433

Art Unit: 1762

Furthermore. Glatt et al. teach that the circulating fluid allows for drying of the particles during the coating and agglomeration process (column 3 lines 15-21). The particles are circulated through the fluidized bed several times until the particles have reached the appropriate size (column 3 lines 50-55). Glatt et al. disclose that a multi-medium nozzle, which comprises liquid and gaseous components where the gaseous components atomize the liquid, is used as the spraying means for the coating liquid (column 6 lines 24-35). Glatt et al. do not teach positioning the spray nozzle in a nonheat conduction relation to the bottom screen. Reynolds teaches a method of coating particles with a liquid and drying the coating (column 1 lines 10-13). The coating apparatus, taught by Reynolds, comprises a cylindrical chamber in the fluidized bed, through which the coating fluid is sprayed, a spray nozzle, which has a coating fluid and an atomizing fluid, and a perforated base through which the fluidizing gas flows (Figure 1 and column 1 lines 27-54). Reynolds discloses that the particles circulate through the apparatus by flowing upward through the cylindrical coating section and flowing downward in the drying section, outside of the cylindrical chamber (column 1 lines 55-42 and column 2 lines 1-5). Reynolds discloses that the spray nozzle comprises a coating material and an atomizing fluid and may be positioned above the perforated plate in a non-heat conducting manner, or it may be positioned flush with the perforated plate (Figure 1 and column 5 lines 24-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to position the spray nozzle in the fluidized bed coating/agglomeration apparatus, taught by Glatt et al., above the perforated plate in view of the teaching of Reynolds that placing the nozzle flush with the plate is

Application/Control Number: 09/917,433

Art Unit: 1762

equivalent to placing it above the perforated plate in a non-heat conducting relation to the perforated plate.

Glatt et al. disclose a fluidized bed coating apparatus, as disclosed above. The reference further teaches that the spraying means may be heated to prevent the liquid from solidifying (column 6 lines 33-35). Reynolds also discloses a fluidized bed coating apparatus, described above. However, neither reference teaches the use of a heated liquid line for maintaining the coating material at a certain temperature. Luy et al. disclose a coating apparatus that is used to coat particulate substrates (abstract). The coating apparatus disclosed by Luy et al. is a fluidized bed coater that has a spray nozzle that sprays the coating material onto the fluidized particles (abstract and column 1 lines 11-16). Luy et al. teach that the particles to be coated are fluidized upwards through a coating chamber and deflected outward and carried to the lower inlet area of the coating chamber (Figure 1). Luy et al. additionally teach that the liquid pumped to the spray nozzle is heated by the heating device that is attached to the liquid line (column 11 lines 13-30 and Figure 1). Luy et al. teach that the coating liquid is maintained at a certain temperature, which is measured by a temperature sensor (column 8 lines 33-54) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have heated the liquid line for the fluidized coating apparatus taught by Glatt et al., in view of the teaching of Glatt et al. to heat the liquid by heating the spraying nozzle, and in further view of the teachings of Luy et al. to use a heating device to heat the liquid in the liquid line while maintaining the liquid a certain temperature by using a temperature sensor on the spraying nozzle.

Referring to claims 14 and 15. Glatt et al. disclose that the fluidized spray is used to agglomerate and coat the particles in the fluidized bed (abstract).

Referring to claims 16 and 27, Glatt et al. discloses that the spraying liquid may be a liquid fat (column 7 lines 11-14).

Referring to claims 18 and 28, in column 3 lines 50-68, Glatt et al. teach that the liquid sprayer is height adjustable. In Figures 1 and 10, Glatt et al. show that the spray nozzle is adjustable below the bottom edge of the cylindrical chamber.

Referring to claim 29. Glatt et al. do not disclose a method for removing the coated particles from the fluidized bed. However, Reynolds teaches the use of a product line that removes coated particles from the bottom of the bed during the fluidization process, so that the cylindrical chamber is not removed from the fluidized bed during product removal (Figures 1 and 2 and column 1 lines 40-42, and 51-53). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to look to prior art for a method of removing the coated particles from the fluidized bed taught by Glatt et al. in the absence of a teaching for removing the product particles, and to use the product line, in view of the teaching of Reynolds to remove the product particles without disturbing the cylindrical partition within the chamber.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glatt et al. (U.S. 4,858,552) in view of Reynolds (U.S. 3,354,863), and in further view of Luy et al. (U.S. 5,632,102), as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Biehl et al. (U.S. 4,217,851)

Application/Control Number: 09/917,433

Art Unit: 1762

Glatt et al. and Reynolds disclose methods for coating particles using a fluidized bed. as described above. However, neither reference discloses the diameter-to-height ratio of the cylindrical portion in the fluidized bed. Biehl et al. disclose a fluidized bed coating apparatus that comprises a perforated plate, through which the fluidizing gas flows, a spray nozzle, and a cylindrical coating chamber (Figure 1 and column 2 lines 47-68). In column 4 lines 50-58, Biehl et al. teach that the particles flow upward through the cylindrical coating chamber, where they are sprayed with coating liquid. In Figure 1, the diameter of the cylindrical chamber appears to be equivalent to the length of the chamber. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in absence of a specific diameter-to-length ratio being disclosed by Glatt et al. or Reynolds, to use a ratio equal to one as disclosed by Biehl et al.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 19 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The applicant's limitation, in claim 19, that the inlet air temperature, a product temperature, a spray liquid temperature, a spray nozzle temperature, an atomizing air temperature, a spray liquid line temperature, a coating zone temperature, a fluidizing gas flow, and atomizing gas pressure are all monitored distinguishes over Glatt et al. because the reference does not teach monitoring all of these parameters at the same time.

Art Unit: 1762

None of the prior art of record teaches or makes obvious the applicant's claimed invention of a fluidized bed coating and agglomerating apparatus that measures all of the above mentioned parameters for the same coating process.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-19, and 26-30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rebecca A. Blanton whose telephone number is 703-605-4295. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (7:30am - 3:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Shrive P Beck can be reached on 703-308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

MICHAELBARR PRIMARY EXAMINER

rab (40) June 10, 2002